EXHIBIT Q

RIJEČKI LIST

nakladničko društvo s ograničenom odgovornošću

510000 Rijeka, Zvonimirova 20a

Rijeka, 23.07.2007.



Media development Equity Fund Attn. Mr. Harlan Mendel 37 West 20th Street, Suite 801 New York, NY 10011 U S A

Štovani gospodine Harlan Mendel

povodom Vašeg zahtjeva dostavljenog fax-om dana 13. srpnja 2007. godine kojim se obraćate Riječkom listu d.o.o., kao dioničaru Novog lista d.d. da ispuni svoju obavezu preuzetu člankom 5.4. Dioničarskog ugovora sklopljenog između Riječkog lista d.o.o. i Media Development Equity Fund (u daljnjem tekstu. MDEF), a u svezi s Novim listom d.d. iz listopada 1999. godine, za izdavanje punomoći za glasanje s određenim brojem dionica Novog lista d.d. (5906 - 9,843%) u vlasništvu dioničara Riječkog lista d.o.o., a u svezi dobivanja glasačih prava na Redovnoj godišnjoj glavnoj skupštini Novog lista d.d. sazvanoj za 03.08.2007. godine o b a v i j e š t a v a m o Vas da je Vaš zahtjev razmatran na Skupštini Riječkog lista d.o.o. održanoj dana 23.07.2007. godine.

Skupština Riječkog lista d.o.o. je zahtjev raspravila i donijela odluku da u ovako zatraženom obliku davanjem PUNOMOĆI zahtjevu MDEF-a nije moguće udovoljiti budući nije sukladan hrvatskim propisima te takav zahtjev za davanje PUNOMOĆ nije prihvaćen sa 6683 glasa PROTIV i 4374 SUZDRŽANA glasa, ZA davanje PUNOMOĆI nije glasao nitko od prisutnih članova Riječkog lista d.o.o.

Posebno napominjem da zahtjev nije prihvaćen uz isto obrazloženje koje Vam je već ranije dostavljeno od strane našeg odvjetničkog društva Bogdanović, Dolički & partneri iz Zagreba, odvjetnika Tina Doličkog od 19. travnja 2007. godine.

Sa štovaniem

DIREKTOR Zdenke Mance

RIJEČKI LIST d.o.o. Rijeko, Zvonimirova 20a

RIJEČKI LIST

nakladničko društvo s ograničenom odgovornošću

510000 Rijeka, Zvonimirova 20a

Rijeka, 23.07.2007.

Media Development Equity Fund Attn. Mr. Harlan Mandel 37 West 20th Street, Suite 801 New York, NY 10011 U S A

Dear Mr.Mandel

In relation to your request sent by fax on 13 July 2007 to Riječki list d.o.o. as the shareholder of Novi list d.d. to fulfill its obligation from Article 5.4 of the Shareholders Agreement stipulated between Riječki list d.o.o. and Media Development Equity Fund (hereinafter referred to as MDEF), in relation to Novi list d.d. of October 1999, to issue a power of attorney to vote a certain number of shares of Novi list d.d. (5906 - 9,843%) owned by shareholders of Riječki list d.o.o., in order to receive the voting rights at the Regular General Assembly of Novi list d.d., convened for 3 August 2007, hereby we are informing you that your request was discussed at the Assembly of Riječki list d.o.o. held on 23 July 2007.

The Assembly of Riječki list d.o.o. discussed the request and passed a decision which states that the request for issuing of the power of attorney may not be granted because it is not in accordance with the Croatian regulations and therefore the request was not accepted with 6,683 votes AGAINST and 4,374 SUSTAINED votes. There were no votes FOR granting the issuance of the stated power of attorney.

Herewith I would like to emphasize that the power of attorney has not been granted for the same reasons and as explained by our legal representative from Law Office Bogdanović, Dolički and Partners from Zagreb, Mr. Tin Dolički on 19 April 2007.

Yours sincerely,

DIRECTOR Zdenko Mance

RIJEČKI LIST d.o.a. Rijeka, Zvonimirova 20a Case 1:07-cv-03624-PKL Document 27-19 Filed 10/25/2007 Page 4 of 5

BOGDANOVIĆ, DOLIČKI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Miramarska 24 HR-10000 Zagreb Croatia Phone: +385 1/600 55 58 Fax: +385 1/600 56 57

PAGES (inc this page) 2

Please telephone +385 1/600 55 66 if any pages are missing or illegible.

To

Mr. Hadan Mandel

COMPANY

Media Development Equity

FAX

4

+1 212 807 05 40

COPES TO

Mr. Zdenko Mance

Riječki list d.o.o.

051/65 00 32

FROM

TIN DOLIČKI

PHONE

Fund

Fax

tin.dolicki@odbd.hr

+385 1/600 56 56

+385 1/600 56 57

Your ref

April 19, 2007

DEMAND FOR PROXY TO VOTE SHARES IN HOVI LIST

Dear Mr. Mandel,

I refer in this letter to your fax message dated 12 April 2007 to my client Riječki list d.o.o. Rijeka and addressed to Mr. Zdenko Mance, demanding for proxy to vote shares in Novi List d.d. Rijeka (the "Company").

At the request of my client I have reviewed the form of the Power of Attorney attached to your fax message in order to provide the client with a legal advice required to determine whether the Power of Attorney is to be issued and in whose favour. Having reviewed the form I have two issues to raise:

- 1. The Shareholders' Agreement dated 28 October 1999 was entered into by my client and Media Development Equity Fund with its registered office at 45 West 21st Street New York. The proxy requested requires my client to issue a Power of Attorney in favour of the entity which uses the abbreviation MDLF (which is for, I suppose. Media Development Loan Fund). MDLF is not a party to the Shareholders' Agreement. The address is also different from one in the Shareholders' Agreement. Could you, kindly, revisit the draft sent to my client and clarify / amend your request accordingly.
- 2. The second Issue is more important and requires broader elaboration. My client does reaffirm its intention to act in accordance with the Shareholders' Agreement and wishes to ensure that the entire Clause 5 (Voting Powers) is fully implemented as agreed upon. My client recognises the intention of the Shareholders' Agreement that both shareholders have equal number of votes in the Shareholders' Meeting of the Company. The problem is, however, how to legally achieve this. The form of the Power of Attorney has not been drafted in accordance with the mandatory provisions of the Croatian law and, therefore, it could not be issued as requested. The issue here is that, under Croatian law, any power of attorney may only authorise the attorney to represent the shareholder (whether natural or legal person) at the meeting and not to represent

certain shares (or, in other words, to act as a representative of the issuer of the Power of Attorney). However, the form, as drafted, is rather an instrument transferring (conveying) voting rights from to issuer to the attorney, who then becomes not an attorney for the shareholder but the beneficiary of the voting rights attached to the certain shares owned by somebody else. Under Croatian law, transferring the voting rights (as well as all other rights attached to the shares) separately from the share itself is not permitted. If you and my client proceed as it is set forth in Clause 5.4 of the Shareholders' Agreement it will, In my opinion, be considered as a circumvention of such legal prohibition and would cause that the validity of the resolutions passed at such Shareholders' Meeting are successfully challengeable by any other shareholder of the Company.

I recognise that the Shareholders' Agreement is governed by the laws of the State of New York and have reasons to believe that such provision is valid under such laws. However, any legal document issued or executed in order to be used in Croatia (such is this Power of Attorney) must be in compliance with Croatian law.

How to resolve this? Although I have not discussed this with my client and do not know whether this would be acceptable for him, the only workable solution, in my opinion, is that the shares carrying voting rights you need to achieve equal number of votes are fully transferred (conveyed) to you. This you may discuss with my client during your next preliminary meeting (pursuant to Clause 5.1 and 5.2 of the Shareholders' Agreement) which is, as far as I know, scheduled for the next week. If I can be of any assistance in finding the workable solution, please let me know.

Please do not hesitate to get back to me if you have any question.

Tin Dolički

Sincerely

Attorney at Law